

CGCB Evaluation Matrix

		0 points	1 point	2 points	3 points	4 points	5 points
1a	Contribution towards a specific community generation facility (Type 1 & 2 Only)	Did not address in the proposal	The project does not contribute directly to the development of a specific community generation facility.	The project loosely contributes to the development of a specific community generation facility.	The project contributes to the development of a specific community generation facility.	The project strongly contributes to the development of a specific community generation facility.	The project represents a vital and significant step towards the development of a specific community generation facility.
1b	Contribution towards growth of community generation industry (Type 3 Only)	Did not address in the proposal	The tools or training proposed minimally benefits the Community Generation industry or it impacts a small group of beneficiaries.	The tools or training proposed provides some benefit to the Community Generation industry or it impacts a small group of beneficiaries.	The tools or training proposed directly benefits the Community Generation industry and impacts a large group of beneficiaries.	The tools or training proposed provides a clear benefit to the Community Generation industry and impacts the industry on a provincial scale.	The tools or training proposed provides substantial direct benefit the Community Generation industry and impacts the industry on a provincial scale.
2	Budget narrative and grant request justification	Did not address in the proposal	The budget is not completely broken down. Explanation of why the grant is needed does not provide justification for the requested funds.	The budget is not completely broken down. Explanation of why the grant is needed provides some justification for the requested funds.	The budget is broken down and explained. Explanation of why the grant is needed provides justification for the requested funds.	The budget is clearly broken down and well explained. Explanation of why the grant is needed provides strong justification for the requested funds.	The budget is very clearly broken down and well explained. Explanation of why the grant is needed is very well justified .
3	Effective use of financial resources	Did not address in the proposal	The proposal poorly explains how the funds will effectively be used to complete the project work.	The proposal somewhat explains how the funds will effectively be used to complete the project work.	The proposal explains how the funds will effectively be used to complete the project work.	The proposal strongly explains how the funds will effectively be used to complete the project work.	The proposal explicitly explains and provides financial details on how the funds will effectively be used to complete the project work.
4	Community engagement	Did not address in the proposal	The applicant has not clearly outlined their community engagement approach, or the project delivery team has not demonstrated their ability to engage with their community in the proposal.	The applicant has partially outlined their community engagement approach, or the project delivery team has partially demonstrated their ability to engage with their community in the proposal.	The applicant has outlined their community engagement approach, or the project participants have demonstrated their ability to engage with their community in the proposal.	The applicant has clearly outlined their community engagement approach, or the project delivery team has clearly demonstrated their ability to engage with their community in the proposal.	The applicant has provided an exceptional outline of their community engagement approach, or the project delivery team has an exceptional ability to engage with their community in the proposal.

CGCB Evaluation Matrix

5	Project work plan and schedule	Did not address in the proposal	The project delivery work-plan is described but with significant gaps , with timelines that are not achievable.	The project delivery work-plan is described but with some gaps , with timelines that may not be achievable or do not align with the CGCB program end date.	The project delivery work-plan is described somewhat clearly with timelines that are achievable and but may not align with the CGCB program end date.	The project delivery work-plan is described clearly with timelines that are achievable and aligned with the CGCB program end date.	The project delivery work-plan is described clearly, in detail with timelines that are achievable and aligned with the CGCB program end date.
6	Project objectives and outcomes	Did not address in the proposal	Objectives, outcomes and benefits are poorly defined . The project objectives do not relate to the Climate Leadership Framework. The project does not clearly fulfill the beneficiary community, the Province's needs with respect to supporting community generation projects and the associated industry.	Objectives, outcomes and benefits are somewhat defined . The project objectives somewhat relate to the Climate Leadership Framework. The project somewhat fulfills the beneficiary community/the Province's needs with respect to supporting community generation projects and the associated industry.	Objectives, outcomes and benefits are defined . The project objectives relate to the Climate Leadership Framework. The project fulfills the beneficiary community/the Province's needs with respect to supporting community generation projects and the associated industry.	Objectives, outcomes and benefits are well defined . The project objectives strongly relate to the Climate Leadership Framework. The project fulfills the beneficiary community/the Province's needs with respect to supporting community generation projects and the associated industry.	Objectives, outcomes and benefits are well defined . The project objectives strongly relate to the Climate Leadership Framework. The project fulfills and exceeds the beneficiary community/the Province's needs with respect to supporting community generation projects and the associated industry.
7	Project personnel	Did not address in the proposal	The project delivery team has limited to no experience in renewable energy and/or renewable energy project development.	The project delivery team has some experience in renewable energy and/or renewable energy project development.	The project delivery team has experience in renewable energy and/or renewable energy project development.	The project delivery team has significant and relevant experience in renewable energy and/or renewable energy project development.	The project delivery team has exceptional and relevant experience in renewable energy and/or renewable energy project development.
8	Community needs and benefit identification	Did not address in the proposal	Community needs and benefits are poorly defined and researched .	Community needs and benefits are defined but poorly researched .	Community needs and benefits are defined and researched .	Community needs and benefits are defined and well-researched .	Community needs and benefits are clearly defined and thoroughly researched .
9	Project risks identification	Did not address in the proposal	Project risks are poorly defined and researched	Project risks are defined but poorly researched	Project risks are defined and researched	Project risks are defined and well-researched	Project risks are clearly defined and thoroughly researched

Community Generation Capacity Building Program Evaluation Matrix – MAXIMUM SCORE IS 45